

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/772,474	01/30/2001	Chan Ming Yam Terence Conan	CA919990016-US1	1657		
7590 12/15/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Anne Vachon Dougherty			LAU, TUNG S			
3173 Cedar Road Yorktown Heights, NY 10598		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2863			

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							ŗ		
•		Ar	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		<u>-</u>		
Office Action Summary		. 09	9/772,474		CONAN ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer		Art Unit		_		
			ng S Lau		2863				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	ınication appears	s on the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core e period for reply specified above is less than thirty poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will ap bly will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may in the statutory minimum of ply and will expire SIX (6) N ie the application to become	y a reply be timel thirty (30) days w MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>06 Nove</u>	<u>mber 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action	on is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-24</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-24</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest	are withdrawn f	rom consideration.						
Applicat	tion Papers								
10) 11)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any obgen Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	e: a) accepte jection to the drawing the correction i	ving(s) be held in abe s required if the draw	yance. See iing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 Cf				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreian pri	ority under 35 U.S.(C & 119(a)-	·(d) or (f)				
13) \(\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included The translation of the foreign I Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment of the foreign I acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment in the first segment in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment in the first segment in the first segment in the first segment is made of a claim reference was included in the first segment i	ey documents hat by documents hat so of the priority of ional Bureau (Priority of ion for a list of the for domestic priced in the first seanguage provising for domestic priced in the first seanguage	ive been received. Ive been received in the been received in the been received in the been received. It was a series of the special application has incity under 35 U.S.	n Application en received not received C. § 119(e) ification or i s been rece C. §§ 120 a	n No I in this National I. (to a provisiona n an Application ived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmei									
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) 🔲 Notice		PTO-413) Paper No(tent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 1, 15, 17, 19, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,279,124) in view of Haley et al. (U.S. Patent 6,154,876).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 17, 19:

Brouwer discloses a system, a computer program, a method for automated testing of software, the system comprising a system server component comprising, a test bucket for storing sets of test data (Col. 1, Lines 53-67), a job receiver process (Col. 1, Lines 53-67), for accepting test requests from a user (Col. 1, Lines 53-67), each test request comprising an identifier for selecting test data from the test bucket (Col. 1, Lines 53-67), a resource process and resource pool for managing system resource data to indicate resources available for software testing on a set of client computer systems (Col. 4, Lines 43-62), a job execution process for creating test execution script data based on the test data identified in a test request and the available resources (Col. 3, Lines 53-67, Col. 4, Lines 42-67), wherein the job execution process receives the test request from

Art Unit: 2863

the job receiver process and receives input from the resource (Col. 1-2, Lines 53-15), dynamically creates the test execution script based upon the resource pool indicating the availability of resources required for the execution of the test on one or more of the set of client computer systems, and initiates testing by forwarding the test execution script data to the appropriate one or more of the set of client computer systems (Col. 3, Lines 53-65), and the system server component further comprising a means for accepting and storing test results from the set of client computer systems (Col. 3, Lines 15-41).

Page 3

Brouwer does not disclose a process indicating resources available for software testing, Haley disclose a process indicating resources available for software testing (Col. 10, Lines 59-38, Col. 26, Lines 3-22), in order to detect programming error in the system (Col. 1, Lines 29-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouwer to have a process indicating resources available for software testing taught by Haley in order to detect programming error in the system (Col. 1, Lines 29-33).

Regarding claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24:

Brouwer discloses a listener and execution process for the execution of the script to generate test report (fig. 3, unit 48), a job queue dispatcher process (Col. 8,

Art Unit: 2863

Lines 25-39), completion testing by the request (Col. 8, Lines 25-39), server database storage (fig. 1, unit 28, 12), use of TCP/IP socket for the test (Col. 3, Lines 23-35), generate test script file for the test (Col. 1-2, Lines 54-14), result store in the server (fig. 1, unit 28, 26), client configuration file pre-testing an post-testing (fig. 1, unit 28, 26), refresh subsystem responsive (fig. 1, unit 20, 22), providing a graphical user interface (Col. 2-3, Lines 66-15), parsing ASCII format (Col. 30-31, Lines 57-8).

b. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,279,124) and Haley et al. (U.S. Patent 6,154,876) and further in view of Eric Knorr (PC bible 2nd edition).

The Brouwer and Haley combination disclose a method including the subject matter discussed above except the use of DOS system; Knorr disclose the use of DOS system in order to have an essential set of programs and utilities that enables the computer to run (page 904, 945-951, 953-956, 965-967).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouwer and Haley to have the use of DOS system taught by Knorr in order to have an essential set of programs and utilities that enables the computer to run.

Art Unit: 2863

Response to Arguments

Page 5

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/6/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. Applicant argues that the prior art does not show the 'test request and the available resources'. Brouwer discloses 'test request and the available resources' in Col. 4, Lines 41-67.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A 11 / / / / 127 1

Art Unit: 2863

Page 6

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for

regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703)

872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

December 1, 2003

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Centyr 2800